



**Fiducijarni prenosi: Relikt prošlosti ili finansijska nužnost?**

**Fiduciary Transfers: Relic of the Past or Financial Necessity?**

**JPM**

**PARTNERS**

Fiducijarni prijenosi: Relikt prošlosti ili finansijska nužnost?/Fiduciary Transfers: Relic of the Past or Financial Necessity?

Publisher: JPM | Partners

Delta House, 8a Vladimira Popovića street

[www.jpm.law](http://www.jpm.law)

Authors: Alma Karadjuzović Djindjinović, Partner, Dajana Drljević, Associate

Design and prepress: JPM | Partners

Copyright: © JPM | Partners 2025 All rights reserved.

Disclaimer:

The sole purpose of this publication is to provide information about specific topics.

It makes no claims to completeness and does not constitute legal advice.

The information it contains is no substitute for specific legal advice.

If you have any queries regarding the issues raised or other legal topics, please get in touch with your usual contact at JPM & Partners.

Riječ fiducija potiče iz latinskog jezika i u prevodu označava povjerenje odnosno zalog te datira iz rimskog prava i označava ugovor koji nastaje tako što jedna stranka, fiducijant (fiducians), predaje drugoj stranci fiducijaru (fiduciarus), neku stvar u vlasništvo, a fiducijar se obavezuje vratiti tu istu stvar u vlasništvo fiducijantu nakon isteka određenog roka ili ispunjenja određenog uslova.

Fiducijarni prenos prava svojine kao sredstvo obezbjeđenja potraživanja i.e. fiducija („Fiducija“) je kao pravni institut u crnogroski pravni sistem uveden putem Zakona o fiducijarnom prenosu prava svojine („Službeni list RCG 23/96“) te je nakon toga nastavio da živi u sastavu Zakona o svojinsko-pravnim odnosima („Službeni list CG 19/09“).

The word „fiduciary“ originates from the Latin language and in translation means trust or pledge and dates back to Roman law and denotes a contract that is created when one party, the fiduciary (fiducians), hands over to another party a fiduciary (fiduciarus) some thing for ownership, and the fiduciary undertakes to return the same thing to the ownership of the fiduciary after the expiration of a certain term or the fulfillment of a certain condition.

The fiduciary transfer of ownership rights i.e. fiduciary (“Fiduciary“) was introduced as a legal institute into the Montenegrin legal system through the Law on Fiduciary Transfer of Rights (“Official Gazette RCG No 23/96“) and after that it continued to live under the Law on Property Relations (“Official Gazette CG No 19/09“).

Fiducija predstavlja uslovno stečeno pravo svojine na pokretnoj ili nepokretnoj stvari radi obezbjeđenja naplate potraživanja (postojećeg, budućeg i uslovnog) i ovlašćuje povjerioca da prije ostalih povjerilaca naplati svoje dospjelo potraživanje, bez obzira kod koga se stvar nalazi. Specifičnost fiducije odnosno Ugovora o fiducijarnom prenosu prava svojine jeste da isti mora biti zaključen u pisanoj formi, takav ugovor mora biti ovjeren kod notara uz upis u katastru nepokretnosti i obaveznu zabilježbu fiducijarnog prenosa svojine.

Ovakav Ugovor predstavlja izvršnu ispravu u smislu odredbi Zakona o izvršenju i obezbjeđenju, pa je fiducijar ovlašćen da po dospelosti obaveze, pokrene postupak izvršenja na osnovu izvršne isprave.

The fiduciary represents a conditionally acquired ownership on either movable or immovable property for securing the collection of a claim (existing, future and conditional) and authorizes the fiduciary/ creditor to collect his receivables before any other creditor, regardless of a person being in possession of the property. The specificity of the fiduciary, i.e. the Agreement on the fiduciary transfer of ownership rights, is that it must be concluded in writing, such an agreement, if it is related to immovable property, such agreement must be notarized by the notary public, then registered with the real estate cadaster and mandatory notation of fiduciary transfer of property.

This Agreement represents an enforceable document in the sense of the provisions of the Law on Enforcement and Security, so the fiduciary is authorized to initiate the enforcement procedure on the basis of the enforceable document upon the maturity of the obligation.

Kod ovog pravnog instituta jedna od glavnih karakteristika jeste da fiducijar postaje formalno-pravni vlasnik opterećene stvari i to ograničenih ovlašćenja, dok je fiducijant ekonomski vlasnik opterećene stvari, odnosno zadržava pravo držanja, upotrebe i ubiranja plodova na stvari koja je opterećena fiducijom.

Nadalje, odredba ugovora prema kojoj su stranke saglasne da zajmodavac stekne pravo svojine na nepokretnosti ukoliko zajmoprimac u roku utvrđenom ugovorom ne vrati pozajmljena sredstva, takozvana *lex commisoria*, je ništava, ali u ostalom dijelu ugovor ostaje na snazi.

The main characteristic is that the creditor/ the beneficiary becomes a formal legal owner of the encumbered property, but with limited powers, while the fiduciary debtor is considered an economic owner of the encumbered property, keeps the right of holding, using and collecting on the encumbered property.

Furthermore, the provision of the contract according to which the parties agree that the lender acquires ownership rights to the real estate if the borrower does not return the loaned funds within the term established by the contract, the so-called *lex commisoria*, is void, but the rest of the contract remains in force.

U praksi je fiducija pokazala više nedostataka odnosno spoticanja nego benefita. Nedostaci se prevashodno odnose na povećane troškove njene realizacije, kada dužnik zapadne u docnju sa ispunjenjem svoje obaveze.

Problemi u praksi nastaju od trenutka predaje zahjeva za definitivni upis prava svojine po osnovu ugovora o fiducijarnom prenosu prava svojine uz postupak brisanja zabilježbe ugovora, jer isti traje suviše dugo (opstrukcija fiducijarnog dužnika u pogledu prijema pismena, rješenja, korišćenja prava na pravni lijek koji ima suspenzivno dejstvo rješenja i konačno predugo postupanje po izjavljenim žalbama).

In practice, the fiduciary has shown more shortcomings or stumbling blocks than benefits. The disadvantages are primarily related to the increased costs of its realization when the debtor falls into arrears with the fulfillment of his obligation.

Problems in practice arise from the moment of submission of the application for the definitive registration of property rights based on the contract on fiduciary transfer of property rights with the procedure for erasing the record of the contract because it takes too long (obstruction of the fiduciary debtor in terms of receiving letters, decisions, the exercise of the right to a legal remedy that has a suspensive effect of the decision and, finally, taking too long to deal with reported complaints).

Ulazak u posjed je vrlo rijetko dobrovoljan te je povjerilac u obavezi da pokrene posebnu tužbu za ispražnjenje i predaju nepokretnosti u posjed, te nakon pravosnažnog okončanja postupka po tužbi, pokreće se izvršni postupak, radi predaje u posjed sudskim putem.

Dodatno, nakon definitivnog upisa u list nepokretnosti, nameće se obaveza sticaocu da nadležnom poreskom organu plati iznos poreza od 3% (odnosno više u zavisnosti od iznosa shodno progresivnoj stopi), na ime prometa nepokretnosti, što dodatno povećava troškove realizacije naplate potraživanja.

Entry into possession is rarely voluntary, and the creditor is obliged to initiate a separate lawsuit for eviction and possession of the immovable property, after the legally binding conclusion of the lawsuit, enforcement proceedings are initiated for the purpose of judicial possession.

In addition, after the definitive registration in the real estate register, the acquirer is obliged to pay the competent tax authority a tax amount of 3% (or more depending on the amount according to the progressive rate), on behalf of the turnover of the real estate, which additionally increases the costs of realizing the collection of claims.

Jedan od rijetkih benefita jeste u slučaju pokretanja stečajnog postupka protiv pravnih lica koja se pojavljuju kao (fiducijarni) dužnici, kako je svojina ovih društava formalno prenijeta na povjerioca (npr. najčešće Banku), to ova imovina ne ulazi u stečajnu masu dužnika i Banka nije prinuđena da čeka naplatu svog potraživanja iz stečajne mase, već može odmah pristupiti prodaji takve imovine, kao razlučni povjerilac.

Jasno da je da tempo brz u svim segmentima života, a posebno u poslovnom okruženju, te da je praksa do sada pokazala da je fiducija kao pravni institut, u odnosu na hipoteku, komplikovan, dug i zahtijeva kompleksniju proceduru i to posebno u dijelu koji se odnosi na namirenje obezbijeđenog potraživanja, te da su troškovi od početka upisa po do konačne realizacije i brisanja izuzetno veći.

One of the rare benefits is in the case of initiation of bankruptcy proceedings against legal entities that appear as (fiduciary) debtors, as the property of these companies has been formally transferred to the creditor (e.g. most often the Bank), this property does not enter the bankruptcy estate of the debtor and the Bank is not forced to wait for the collection of its claim from the bankruptcy estate, but can immediately proceed to the sale of such property, as a separate creditor.

It is clear that the tempo is fast in all segments of life, especially in the business environment, practice has so far shown that fiduciary as a legal institute, in relation to a mortgage, for instance, is a rather complicated, long and demanding procedure, especially in the part that refers to the settlement of the secured claim, and that the costs from the beginning to the final





Većina, da ne kažemo svi poslovni subjekti, pribjegavaju hipoteci kao bržem, jasnijem i ekonomičnijem sredstvu obezbjeđenja pa se zaista postavlja pitanje da li nam je fiducija i dalje potrebna u pravnom životu.

Most, if not all, business entities resort to a mortgage as a faster, clearer, and more economical means of security, so the question really arises whether we still need a fiduciary in our legal life.

# Authors



Alma Karadjuzović Djindjinović

Partner

E: [alma.karadjuzović@jpm.law](mailto:alma.karadjuzović@jpm.law)



Dajana Drljević

Associate

E: [dajana.drljevic@jpm.law](mailto:dajana.drljevic@jpm.law)

JPM | PARTNERS

2 Šeika Zaida Street

81000 Podgorica

Montenegro

email: T:+382 20 672534

E: [office.mne@jpm.law](mailto:office.mne@jpm.law)

[www.jpm.law](http://www.jpm.law)